

Printed from: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council (ITRC). 2018. *Quality Considerations for Multiple Aspects of Munitions Response Sites*. QCMR-1. Washington, D.C. https://gcmr-1.itrcweb.org/.

References

- Army Military Munitions Response Program. 2011. Munitions Response Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study Guidance.
- DDESB (Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board). 2016. Minimum Qualifications for Personnel Conducting Munitions and Explosives of Concern-Related Activities.
 - https://safety.army.mil/Portals/0/Documents/ON-DUTY/EXPLOSIVESSAFETY/Standard/DDESB-Tech-Paper_18-Minimum -Qualifications-for-Personnel-Conducting-Munitions-and-Explosives-of-Concern-Related-Activities 1September2016.pdf?ver=2016-12-19-150211-313
- DOD 2012. Department of Defense Manual Number 4715.20, Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Management. https://www.denix.osd.mil/derp/home/
- Department of the Navy (DON). In Progress. Department of the Navy's Environmental Restoration Program Manual.
- ESTCP (Environmental Security Technology Certification Program). 2014. "Geophysical System Verification." http://www.serdp-estcp.org/Featured-Initiatives/Munitions-Response-Initiatives/Geophysical-System-Verification
- Hammer, M. 2001. The Agenda. New York: Crown Business.
- IDQTF (Intergovernmental Data Quality Task Force). 2012. Uniform Federal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans.

 Optimized UFP-QAPP Worksheets. http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/ufp_qapp_worksheets.pdf
- IDQTF. 2014. Uniform Federal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans. Intergovernmental Data Quality Task Force (UFP-QAPP).
- IDQTF. 2016. Uniform Federal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans Template: Advanced Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response (AGC-QAPP). https://www.epa.gov/fedfac/uniform-federal-policy-quality-assurance-project-plans-template-advanced-geophysical
- IDQTF. 2018. Uniform Federal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans Munitions Response QAPP Toolkit. Module 1: Remedial Investigation (RI)/Feasibility Study (FS)-(MR-QAPP).
- IOS (International Organization for Standardization). Quality Management. ISO 9001. http://www.iso.org/iso/home/standards/management-standards/iso_9000.htm
- IOS. General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories. ISO/IEC 17025. http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=39883
- ITRC (Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council). 2000. Breaking Barriers to the Use of Innovative Technologies: State Regulatory Role in Unexploded Ordnance Detection and Characterization Technology Selection. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/GuidanceDocuments/UXO-1.pdf
- ITRC. 2003. *Technical/Regulatory Guideline for Munitions Response Historical Records Review*. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/GuidanceDocuments/UXO-2.pdf
- ITRC. 2004. *Geophysical Prove-Outs for Munitions Response Projects*. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/GuidanceDocuments/UXO-3.pdf
- ITRC. 2006. Survey of Munitions Response Technologies. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/GuidanceDocuments/UXO-4.pdf

- ITRC. 2008. *Quality Considerations for Munitions Response Projects*. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/GuidanceDocuments/UXO-5.pdf
- ITRC. 2010. Frequently Asked Questions about Wide-Area Assessment for Munitions Response Projects. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/GuidanceDocuments/UXO-6.pdf
- ITRC. 2012. Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response: Introductory Fact Sheet. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/Documents/Team-Resources-GCMR/GCMR_FactSheet1.pdf
- ITRC. 2013. *Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response: Technical Fact Sheet.* Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/Documents/Team-Resources-GCMR/ITRC-MR-technical-fact-sheet-June-2013-FINAL.pdf
- ITRC. 2014. *Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response: Regulatory Fact Sheet*. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://itrcweb.org/Documents/Team-Resources-GCMR/ITRC-GCMR-Team-Regulatory-Fact-Sheet-Final-10-10-2014.pd f
- ITRC. 2015. *Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response: Guidance Document.* Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Geophysical Classification for Munitions Response Team. https://www.itrcweb.org/gcmr-2/
- NAVFAC (Naval Facilities Engineering Command). *In Progress*. Draft NAVFAC Munitions Response Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Guidance.
- NAVFAC. 2017. NAVFAC Munitions Response Quality Assessment Spreadsheet.
- Ramsey, C.A., and Hewitt, A.D. 2005. A methodology for assessing sample representativeness. Environmental Forensics 6:71-75.
- Ramsey, M.H., and Ellison, S.L.R. 2007. Eurachem/EUROLAB/CITAC/Nordtest/AMC guide: measurement uncertainty arising from sampling: a guide to methods and approaches. Eurachem ISBN:978 0 948926 26 6.
- USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2015. Engineer Manual EM-200-1-15 Environmental Quality- Technical Guidance for Military Munitions Response Actions http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerManuals/EM 200-1-15.pdf
- USACE. 2012. Engineer Manual EM-200-1-12 Environmental Quality- Conceptual Site Models http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerManuals/EM 200-1-12.pdf
- USACE. 2009. United States Army Military Munitions Response Program, Munitions response Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Guidance. https://aec.army.mil/application/files/8114/9512/9332/Guidance MMRP RIFS 2009.pdf
- USACE. 2008. Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Manual EM 385-1-97 Explosives Safety and Health Requirements

 Manual.http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerManuals/EM_385-1-97.pdf?ver=2013-10-21-153957-937
- USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1988. Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA, Interim Final, EPA/540/G-89/004. https://rais.ornl.gov/documents/GUIDANCE.PDF
- USEPA. 2001a. D. M. Crumbling. EPA, Technology Innovation Office. Current Perspectives in Site Remediation and Monitoring, Clarifying DQO Terminology Usage to Support Modernization of Site Cleanup Practice. https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/134502.pdf
- USEPA. 2001d. Crumbling, D., C. Groenjes, B. Lesnik, K. Lynch, J. McKenna, J. Shockley, J. J. van Ee, R. Howe, and L. Keith.

Managing Uncertainty in Environmental Decisions. Environmental Science and Technology 35(19):404A-409A.

USEPA. 2006. Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA QA/G-4. EPA/240/B-01/002.

USEPA. 2016. Superfund Community Involvement Handbook. https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/100000070.pdf